UNDER PUBLIC REVIEW SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGORY: COATING - AUTO BODY

BACT Size: Minor Source BACT PAINT SPRAY BOOTH

BACT Determination Number: 153 BACT Determination Date: 1/3/2018

Equipment Information

Permit Number: 24973

Equipment Description: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH
Unit Size/Rating/Capacity: ≤ 4,700 lbs VOC/year

Equipment Location: SACRAMENTO AUTO BODY, INC

3430 LA GRANDE BLVD SACRAMENTO, CA

BACT Determination Information

ROCs	Standard:	4,700 lb/year
ROOS	Technology Description:	Compliance with SMAQMD Rule 459. For heaters, use of natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
NOx	Standard:	30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 or 0.036 lb/MMBTu/hr
HOX	Technology Description:	low NOx burner, natural gas or LPG fired
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
SOx	Standard:	
OOX	Technology Description:	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM10	Standard:	98% control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf
1 11110	Technology Description:	Spray booth with dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained. HVLP spray equivalent application equipment. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM2.5	Standard:	98% control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf
	Technology Description:	Spray booth with dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained. HVLP spray equivalent application equipment. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
СО	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: T-BACT is the following:

1.Spray booth with filter system, 98% PM10 control efficiency, HVLP spray equipment or equivalent technology

2. Compliance with VOC content compliant with BAAQMD Reg. 8, Rule 45 and transfer erriciency complying with Reg. 8,

rule 45

3.Emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight

District Contact: Jeff Quok Phone No.: (916) 874-4863 email: jquok@airquality.org

Printed: 1/3/2018

UNDER PUBLIC REVIEW SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGORY: COATING - AUTO BODY

BACT Size: Minor Source BACT PAINT SPRAY BOOTH

BACT Determination Number: 154 BACT Determination Date: 1/3/2018

Equipment Information

Permit Number: 24973

Equipment Description: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH **Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:** > 4,700 lb VOC/year

Equipment Location: SACRAMENTO AUTO BODY, INC

3430 LA GRANDE BLVD SACRAMENTO, CA

BACT Determination Information

h	_	
ROCs	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with BAAQMD Reg. 8, Rule 45. Overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight. For heaters, use of natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
NOx	Standard:	30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 or 0.036 lb/MMBtu/hr
NOX	Technology Description:	For heaters, Natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
SOx	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM10	Standard:	98% control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf
	Technology Description:	HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner.
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM2.5	Standard:	98% control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf
1 111210	Technology Description:	HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner.
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
СО	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: For T-BACT:

1. Spray booth with filter system, 98% PM control efficiency, HVLP spray equipment or equivalent technology

2. Coatings with VOC content compliant with BAAQMD Reg. 8, Rule 45 and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule

3. VOC emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency \geq 90% by weight

District Contact: Jeff Quok Phone No.: (916) 874-4863 email: jquok@airquality.org

Printed: 1/3/2018



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

 DETERMINATION NO.:
 153 & 154

 DATE:
 December 20, 2017

ENGINEER: Jeffrey Quok

Category/General Equip Description: Coating – Auto Body

Equipment Specific Description: Paint Spray Booth

Equipment Size/Rating: ≤ 4,700 lbs VOC/year, Minor Source (BACT #153)

> 4,700 lbs VOC/year, Minor Source (**BACT #154**)

Previous BACT Det. No.: #107

This BACT determination will update Determination #107 for paint spray booths used for automotive coating. This BACT determination will also include stripping and solvent cleaning operations related to automotive coating operations. Additionally, this determination is being updated to include T-BACT for HAPs associated with VOC and PM emissions.

This BACT was determined under the project for A/C 24973 (Sacramento Auto Body, LLC).

BACT/T-BACT ANALYSIS

A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT/T-BACT for paint spray booths used for automotive coating operations by the following air pollution control districts:

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	BACT Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse RBLC ID: OH-0309 (5/03/2007)		
	Automo	tive Refinishing	
	voc	< 14.5 tons VOC/year emission limit, 4.8 lb/gal coating – exempt lb/gal excluding water & exempt solvents	
US EPA	NOx	No standard	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	Dry Filtration, 98% efficiency, < 0.62 tons PM10/year emission limit, 0.0015 gr/dscf	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	СО	No standard	
US EPA	PM2.5 No standard		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
US EPA	 Coatings must be applied with HVLP spray equipment, electrostatic application, airless spray gun, air-assisted airless spray gun, or an equivalent technology for which written approval has been obtained from the U.S. EPA. Spray gun cleaning must be conducted such that an atomized mist or spray of gun cleaning solvent and paint residue is not created outside of a container that collects used cleaning solvent. All new and existing personnel who spray-apply surface coatings must be trained in the proper application of surface coatings. For new affected sources, submit an initial notification to EPA no later than 180 days after initial startup or July 7, 2008, whichever is later. For an existing affected source, submit the initial notification no later than January 11, 2010. 		

	<u></u>		
District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	BACT Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse SMAQMD Permit #18402 (7/25/2005) Automotive Refinishing VOC \$\leq 4.700 \text{ low VOC coating}\$		
	NOx	≤ 4,700 lbs/year, low VOC coating	
		No standard	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
ARB	СО	No standard	
	T-BACT The ARB BACT Clearinghouse did not contain any T-BACT determinations. RULE REQUIREMENTS: Title 17, Cal. Code Regs. Section 93112 – Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Emissions of Hexavalent Chromium and Cadmium from Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coatings: This regulation prohibits the sale and supply of motor vehicle and/or mobile equipment coating manufactured on or after January 1, 2003 that contains hexavalent chromium or cadmium. Each motor vehicle and/or mobile equipment coating shall clearly display on its container or package, the day, month and year on which the coating was manufactured. Since this regulation is applicable to the sale and supply of coatings only, it will not be considered as T-BACT for the end user.		

District/Agenc	y Best Ava	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
BACT Determination #107 (6/10/2015)				
	Paint Sp	oray Booth		
	voc	4,700 lb VOC/quarter/year limit, use of low VOC coatings and solvents, and high efficiency spray equipment complying with Rule 459. For heaters use of natural gas or LPG fired burner.		
	NOx	For heaters, Low NOx burner, 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2		
	SOx	Natural gas or LPG fired burner		
SMAQMD	PM10	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency and high transfer efficiency application equipment, Natural gas or LPG fired burner		
	PM2.5	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency and high transfer efficiency application equipment, Natural gas or LPG fired burner		
	СО	Natural gas or LPG fired burner		
	Vehicle (equipment	coating Operations (Last Coating Limits: No person shall nt, or associated parts and compay content, as calculated pursuant	apply to any motor vehicle, mobile onents, any coating with a VOC	
		Coating Category AQMD Rule 459 Definition)	VOC Regulatory Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
	Adhesic	n Promoter	540 (4.5)	
	Clear C	oating	250 (2.1)	
	Color C	oating	420 (3.5)	
	Mobile	olor Coating: equipment driven or drawn on nd its associated parts and ents	520 (4.3)	
		er mobile equipment or motor and its associated parts and ents	680 (5.7)	

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Coating Category (SMAQMD Rule 459 Definition)	VOC Regulatory Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
	Pretreatment Coating	660 (5.5)	
	Primer/Primer Sealer	250 (2.1)	
	Single-Stage Coating	340 (2.8)	
	Temporary Protective Coating	60 (0.5)	
	Truck Bed Liner Coating	200 (1.7)	
	Underbody Coating	430 (3.6)	
	Uniform Finish Coating	540 (4.5)	
	Any Other Coating Type, Excluding Materials Listed In Section 302	250 (2.1)	
	407, in excess of the following limits: Material	VOC Regulatory Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
SMAQMD	Gasket/Gasket Sealing Material	200 (1.7)	
	Cavity Wax	650 (5.4)	
	Deadener	650 (5.4)	
	Lubricating Wax/Compound	700 (5.8)	
	If anywhere on the container of any automotive coating, or any label or sticker affixed to the container, or in any sales, advertising, or technical literature supplied by a person, any representation is made that indicates that the coating meets the definition of or is recommended for use for more than one of the coating categories listed in Section 301, then the lowest VOC content limit shall apply. Emission Control Equipment: As an alternative to the coating limits, as applicable, a person may use air pollution control equipment, subject to the approval to the Air Pollution Control Officer, that provides an overall system efficiency of not less than 85% as determined pursuant to Section 406. Any approved emission control equipment must be maintained and used at all times in proper working condition.		
	Application Equipment Requirement: A person shall not apply any coating unless one of the following application methods is used: a. Electrostatic application equipment. b. High-Volume Low-Pressure spray equipment. The spray gun shall meet one of the following: 1. The spray gun shall be permanently labeled as HVLP; or 2. If the spray gun is not permanently labeled as a HVLP, then the end user shall demonstrate that the spray gun meets the HVLP definition in		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Section 224 in design and use. A satisfactory demonstration shall be based on the manufacturer's published technical material on the design of the gun and by a demonstration of the operation of the gun using an air pressure tip gauge from the manufacturer of the gun. c. Low-Volume Low-Pressure spray equipment. d. Brush or roll coating, dip coat, or flow coat. e. Any other application method that achieves a transfer efficiency equivalent to, or higher than, the application methods listed in Sections 305.1 (a)-(d) as determined by the methods specified on Section 504.9. Written approval from the Air Pollution Control Officer shall be obtained for each alternative application method prior to use.		
SMAQMD	 Solvent Cleaning Operations and Storage Requirements: Any person subject to this rule shall comply with the following requirements: a. Closed containers shall be used for the disposal of cloth, sponges, or paper used for solvent cleaning operations and coating removal. b. Volatile organic compound-containing materials shall be stored in closed, vapor-tight containers, when not in use except while adding to or removing them from the containers. c. A person shall not perform cleaning operations using a solvent with a volatile organic compound content in excess of 25 grams per liter (0.21 pounds per gallon), as determined pursuant to Section 409. d. For bug and tar removal a person shall not use any solvent other than bug and tar remover regulated under the Consumer Products Regulation (California Code of Regulations Section 94507 et seq.) or a solvent with a volatile organic compound content of no more than 25 grams per liter. Coating remover (stripper requirements): 		
	A person shall not perform coating removal with a material containing volatile organic compounds in excess of 200 grams per liter (1.7 pounds per gallon).		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements				
	BACT Source: SCAQMD BACT Guidelines (Part D) for Non-Major Polluting Facilities, page 43 & 114 (Last Revised 12/2/16), Makeup Air Heater, A/N 413559 (11/24/04)				
	Spray Booths – Automotive, Down-Draft Type				
	voc	For Automotive, down-draft booths with < 7,920 lbs/year (<660 lbs/month) VOC Emissions 1. Compliance with applicable AQMD Regulation XI Rules			
		For Automotive, down-draft booths with ≥7,920 lbs/year (≥ 22 lbs/day) VOC Emissions 1. Compliance with applicable AQMD Regulation XI Rules, and VOC control system with ≥90% collection efficiency and ≥ 95% destruction efficiency; OR 2. Use of Super Compliant Materials (<5% VOC by weight); OR 3. Use of low-VOC materials resulting in an equivalent emission reduction			
South Coast	NOx	For booths with heaters 1. Low NOx burner not to exceed 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2			
AQMD	SOx	No standard			
	PM10	Dry filters or waterwash			
	PM2.5	No standard			
	СО	No standard			
	Spray Booths – Other Types				
	voc	For booths with < 14,040 lbs/year (< 1,170 lbs/month) VOC Emissions 1. Compliance with applicable AQMD Regulation XI Rules For booths with ≥ 14,040 lbs/year (≥ 1,170 lbs/month) VOC Emissions 1. Compliance with applicable AQMD Regulation XI Rules, ar VOC control system with ≥90% collection efficiency and ≥ 95′ destruction efficiency; OR 2. Use of Super Compliant Materials (<5% VOC by weight); OR 3. Use of low-VOC materials resulting in an equivalent emission reduction			
	NOx	For booths with heaters 1. Low NOx burner not to exceed 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2			
	SOx	No standard			
	PM10	Dry filters or waterwash			
PM2.5 No standard		No standard			
	CO No standard				

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.			
	RULE REQUIREMENTS:			
	Reg XI, Rule 1151 – Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Non-Assembly Line Coating Operations (Last amended 9/5/2014)			
	A person shall not apply any automotive coating to a motor vehicle, mobile equipment, or associated parts or components of a motor vehicle or mobile equipment that contains VOC in excess of the limits specified in Table of Standards below. Compliance with the applicable VOC content limits shall be based on VOC content, including any material added to the original automotive coating supplied by the manufacturer, as applied, less water and exempt compounds.			
	Coating Category (SCAQMD Rule 1151 Definition)	VOC Content Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)		
	Adhesion Promoter	540 (4.5)		
	Clear Coating	250 (2.1)		
South Coast	Color Coating	420 (3.5)		
AQMD	Coating Category (SCAQMD Rule 1151 Definition)	VOC Content Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)		
	Multi-Color Coating	680 (5.7)		
	Pretreatment Coating	660 (5.5)		
	Primer	250 (2.1)		
	Single-Stage Coating	340 (2.8)		
	Temporary Protective Coating	60 (0.5)		
	Truck Bed Liner Coating	310 (2.6)		
	Underbody Coating	430 (3.6)		
	Uniform Finish Coating	540 (4.5)		
	Any Other Coating Type	250 (2.1)		
	Most Restrictive VOC Limit If any representation or information on the any label or sticker affixed to the container, literature that indicates that the automotiv recommended for use for more than one of in VOC Content Limit table, then the lowest	or in any sales, advertising, or technical re coating meets the definition of or is the automotive coating categories listed		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	Alternative Compliance A person may comply with the provisions of the VOC content Limit table, by using an approved emission control system, consisting of collection and control device provided such emission control system is approved pursuant to Rule 203 – Per to Operate, in writing, by the Executive Officer for reducing emissions of VOC. The Executive Officer shall approve such emission control system only if the Voc emissions resulting from the use of non-compliant automotive coatings will reduced to a level equivalent to or lower than that which would have been achieved by compliance with the terms of the VOC Content Limit table. The required efficient of an emission control system at which an equivalent or greater level of Voc emission reduction will be achieved shall be calculated by the following equation:			
	C.E. = $\left[1 - \left\{ \frac{(VOC_{LWc})}{(VOC_{LWn,Max})} \times \frac{1 - (VOC_{LWn,Max}/D_{n,Max})}{1 - (VOC_{LWc}/D_c)} \right\} \right] \times 100$			
	Where:			
	C.E. = Control Efficiency, percent			
	VOC_{LWc} = VOC Limit of Rule 1151, less water and			
	less exempt compounds, pursuant to			
South Coast	paragraph (d)(1).			
AQMD	VOC _{LWn,Max} = Maximum VOC content of non-compliant			
	automotive coating used in conjunction with			
	a control device, less water and exempt			
	compounds.			
	D _{n,Max} = Density of VOC solvent, reducer, or thinner			
	contained in the non-compliant automotive coating containing the maximum VOC.			
	D _c = Density of corresponding VOC solvent,			
	reducer, or thinner used in the compliant			
	automotive coating system = 880 g/L.			
	antonion i coming of sound of o g 2.			
	Transfer Efficiency A person shall not apply automotive coatings to any motor vehicle, mobile equipment or any associated parts or components to a motor vehicle or mobile equipment except by the use of one of the following methods: A. Electrostatic application, or B. High-volume, low-pressure (HVLP) spray, or C. Brush, dip, or roller, or D. Spray gun application, provided the owner or operator demonstrate that the spray gun meets the HVLP definition in paragraph (c)(17) in design and use. A satisfactory demonstration must be based on the manufacturer's published technical material on the design of the spray gun and by a demonstration of the operation of the spray gun using an air pressure tip gauge from the manufacturer of the spray gum. E. Any such other automotive coating application methods as demonstrated, in accordance with the provisions of subparagraph (h)(1)(F), to be capable of			

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	achieving equivalent or better transfer efficiency than the automotive coating application method listed in clause (d)(6)(A)(ii), provided written approval is obtained from the Executive Officer Prior to use. Reg XI, Rule 1171 – Solvent Cleaning Operations (Last amended 5/1/2009) This rule applies to all persons who use solvent materials in solvent cleaning operations during the production, repair, maintenance, or servicing of parts, products, tools, machinery, equipment, or general work areas; all persons who store and dispose of these materials used in solvent cleaning operations; and all solvent suppliers who supply, sell, or offer for sale solvent cleaning materials for use in solvent cleaning operations.			
	Solvent Cleaning Activity	VOC limits g/l (lb/gal)		
	(A) Product cleaning during manufacturing process or surface preparation for coating, adhesive, or ink application			
	(i) General	25 (0.21)		
South Coast	(ii) Electrical apparatus components & electronic components	100 (0.83)		
AQMD	(B) Repair and Maintenance Cleaning			
	(i) General	25 (0.21)		
	(ii) Electrical apparatus components & electronic components	100 (0.83)		
	(C) Cleaning of coatings or adhesives application equipment	25 (0.1)		
	(D) Cleaning of polyester resin application equipment	25 (0.21)		
	Reg XI, Rule 1147 – NOx Reductions from (Last amended 9/9/2011) This rule applies to ovens, dryers, dehydratic crematories, incinerators, heated pots, cool heated tanks and evaporators, distillation un incinerators, catalytic or thermal oxidizers, so combustion equipment with nitrogen oxide and are not specifically required to comply other District Regulation XI rules.	tors, heaters, kilns, calciners, furnaces, kers, roasters, fryers, closed and open its, afterburners, degassing units, vapor oil and water remediation units and other emissions that require a District permit		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements				
	Equipment		NOx Emission Limit PPM @ 3% O2, dry or pound/MMBtu heat input		
South Coast		tegory	Process Temperature		
AQMD			≤800° F	>800° F and <1200° F	≥1200 ° F
	Make-Up air heater or other air heater located outside of building with temperature controlled zone inside building		30 ppm or 0.036 lb/MMBtu/hr	-	-
	BACT Source: NSR Requirements for BACT, page 3-3 & 3-4. (June 2011) Automotive Refinishing Operations <10,403 lb VOC/year (based on average)				
	limit of <5 gal/day and assuming 5.7 lbs VOC/gal, & 365 days/year) (A)				
	voc	Compliance with Rule 67.20.1, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations			
San Diego	NOx	Ox No standard			
County APCD	SOx	No standard			
	PM10	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters			
	PM2.5	Spray boot	th equipped with ove	rspray filters	
	СО	No standa	rd		
	(A) The gallon per day limit is based on a daily average and 5.7 lbs VOC/gacoating is maximum Rule 67.20.1 limit.				

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	Automotive Refinishing Operations ≥ 10,403 lb VOC/year (based on average limit of ≥ 5 gal/day and assuming 5.7 lbs VOC/gal, & 365 days/year) (A)			
	VOC	coatings complying with Rule Equipment Refinishing Ope efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Te	Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment	
	NOx	No standard		
	SOx	No standard		
	PM10	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters		
San Diego	PM2.5	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters		
County APCD	СО	No standard		
	(A) The	(A) The gallon per day limit is based on a daily average and 5.7 lbs VOC/ga coating is maximum Rule 67.20.1 limit.		
There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse RULE REQUIREMENTS: Regulation 4, Rule 67.20.1 – Motor Vehicle and Mobile I Operations (Effective 6/30/2010) This rule applies to all motor vehicle and mobile equipmen including finishing or refinishing of motor vehicles, mobile equipmodels, and their associated parts and components. VOC Content Limits		nicle and Mobile Equipment Coating d mobile equipment coating operations nicles, mobile equipment, non-motorized		
	Coating (SDAPC	Category CD Rule 67.20.1 Definition)	VOC Content Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
	Adhesion Promoter 540 (4.5)		540 (4.5)	
	Clear C	oating	250 (2.1)	
	Color Coating 420 (3.5)		420 (3.5)	
	Multi-Co	olor Coating	680 (5.7)	
	Pigmented Coating for Military Tactical Support Vehicles and Equipment 420 (3.5) Pretreatment Coating 660 (5.5)		420 (3.5)	
			660 (5.5)	
	Primer 250 (2.1)		250 (2.1)	

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Coating Category (SDAPCD Rule 67.20.1 Definition)	VOC Content Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
	Primer for Military Tactical Support Vehicles and Equipment	420 (3.5)	
	Primer Sealer	250 (2.1)	
	Single-Stage Coating	340 (2.8)	
	Temporary Protective Coating	60 (0.5)	
	Truck Bed Liner Coating	310 (2.6)	
	Underbody Coating	430 (3.6)	
	Uniform Finish Coating or Blender	540 (4.5)	
	Any Other Coating Type	250 (2.1)	

San Diego County APCD

Most Restrictive VOC Content Limit

If anywhere on the automotive coating container, or any label or sticker affixed to the container, or in any sales, advertising, or technical literature, any representation is made that indicates that the coating meets the definition of or is recommended for use for more than one of the coating categories listed in the VOC Content Limit table, then the lowest VOC content limit shall apply.

No coatings shall be applied unless one of the following coating application methods is used:

- 1. Electrostatic spray application
- 2. Flow coat application
- 3. Dip coat application
- 4. High-volume low-pressure (HVLP) spray application
- 5. Roll coat
- 6. Hand application methods
- 7. Other coating application methods that are demonstrated to have a transfer efficiency a least equal to one of the above application methods, and which are used in such a manner that the parameters under which they were tested are permanent features of the method. Such coating application methods shall be features in writing prior to use by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

Coating Application Equipment

A person shall conduct motor vehicle and mobile equipment coating operations by using only the following coating application methods:

- 1. Electrostatic spray application; or
- 2. Flow coat application; or
- 3. Dip coat application; or
- 4. Roll coat: or
- 5. Hand application methods; or
- 6. High-volume low-pressure spray. Facilities using an HVLP spray gun shall have available on site pressure gauges in proper operating condition to measure the air cap pressure or have available manufacturer's technical

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements
	information regarding the correlation option is used to demonstrate compliance, a handle air inlet pressure gauge will be required on site in proper operating condition to measure the handle air inlet pressure; or 7. Other coating application methods that are demonstrated to have transfer efficiency at least equal to one of the above application methods, and which are used in such a manner that the operating parameters under which they were demonstrated to achieve such transfer efficiency are permanent features of the method. Such coating application methods shall be approved in writing by the Air Pollution Control Officer prior to use.
San Diego County APCD	Cleaning of Coating Application Equipment A person shall not clean coating application equipment used in motor vehicle and mobile equipment coating operations unless: 1. The VOC content of cleaning material does not exceed 25 grams per liter (0.21 lbs/gal), as applied; and 2. The cleaning material is flushed or rinsed through the application equipment, including paint lines, without exposure to air, into a container which has in place a lid that completely covers the container and has no visible holes, breaks or openings; and either 3. The application equipment or equipment parts are cleaned in a container which is open only when being accessed for adding, cleaning, or removing application equipment or when cleaning material is being added, provided the cleaned equipment or equipment parts are drained to the container until dripping ceases; or 4. A system is used that totally encloses the component parts being cleaned during the washing, rinsing, and draining process.
	Surface Preparation and Other Cleaning Operations A person shall not use any material for surface preparation or any other surface cleaning unless its VOC content is 25 grams or less per liter of material (0.21 lbs/gal), as applied.
	Waste Disposal A person shall not use coating application equipment or any other means to dispose of waste coatings, coating components, surface preparation materials, or cleaning materials by spraying into the air, except when momentarily purging coating material from a spray applicator cap immediately before or after applying the coating material.
	Control Equipment In lieu of complying with the provisions of the VOC Content Limits, Most Restrictive VOC Content Limit, Coating Application Equipment, Cleaning of Coating Application Equipment, and Surface Preparation and Other Cleaning Operations requirements, a person may elect to us an air pollution control system which: 1. Has been installed in accordance with an Authority to Construct; and 2. Includes an emission collection system which captures emissions generated from coating, surface preparation, and/or application equipment cleaning and transports the captured emissions to an air pollution control device; and 3. Has an overall control efficiency of at least 85% by weight.

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	(1: Do (0:	AAQMD BACT Guideline Soument # 161.3.1 for < 14,600 lbs/year) (< 40 lb/day) (uncontrolled) 2/16/91) Soument # 161.3.2 for ≥ 14,600 lbs/year (≥ 40 lb/day) (uncontrolled) 5/05/95) Soument # Coating of Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment, Rework or	
	Bodyshor		
Bay Area AQMD	POC	 For < 14,600 lb/year (< 40 lb/day) VOC emissions 1. Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficiency greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Technologically Feasible); or 2. Compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 (Achieved in Practice) For ≥ 14,600 lb/year (≥ 40 lb/day) VOC emissions 1. Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficiency greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Technologically Feasible); or 2. Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Achieved in Practice) Note: The 40 lb/day threshold listed in the BAAQMD BACT standard was derived from their cost-effectiveness level, which is an annualized cost. Therefore, this would be equivalent to an uncontrolled emissions rate of 14,600 lbs/year. 	
	NOx	No standard	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	Dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	СО	No standard	
	NPOC	 For < 14,600 lb/year (< 40 lb/day) VOC emissions Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficiency greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Technologically Feasible); or Compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 (Achieved in Practice) For ≥ 14,600 lb/year (≥ 40 lb/day) VOC emissions Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficiency greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Technologically Feasible) 	

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	T-BACT Source: BAAQMD BACT Guideline Document # 161.3.1 for < 14,600 lb VOC/year) (<40 lb VC (uncontrolled) (12/16/91) Document # 161.3.2 for ≥ 14,600 lb VOC/year (≥40 lb VC (uncontrolled) (05/05/95)			
	Spray Booths – Coating of Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment, Rework or Bodyshop			
	 For < 14,600 lb/year (< 40 lb/day) VOC emissions Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficie greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emiss controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% weight (Technologically Feasible); or Compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 (Achieved in Practice) For ≥ 14,600 lb/year (≥ 40 lb/day) VOC emissions Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficie greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% weight (Technologically Feasible); or Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency comp Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight (Achieved 	ncy sions b by		
Bay Area AQMD	Practice) NPOC For < 14,600 lb/year (< 40 lb/day) VOC emissions 1. Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficie greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emiss controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% weight (Technologically Feasible); or 2. Compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 (Achieved in Practice) For ≥ 14,600 lb/year (≥ 40 lb /day) VOC emissions 1. Coatings with VOC content less than and transfer efficiency ≥ 90% greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency > 90% greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency > 90% greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency > 90% greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency > 90% greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45,	ncy sions		
	controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% weight (Technologically Feasible); or RULE REQUIREMENTS: Reg 8, Rule 45 - Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating (12/03/2008) Coating Limits No person shall finish or refinish any vehicles, mobile equipment or the components using any coating with a VOC content in excess of the follographic expressed as grams of VOC per liter (or pounds per gallon) of coat excluding water and exempt solvents, in excess of the following literal exceptions to the atmosphere are controlled to an equivalent level by abatement equipment with an overall control efficiency of at least 85% meets the requirements of Regulation 2, Rule 1:	Operations eir parts and owing limits, ing applied, mits unless air pollution		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Coating Category (BAAQMD Rule 45 Definition)	VOC Content Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
	Adhesion Promoter	540 (4.5)	
	Clear Coating	250 (2.1)	
	Color Coating	420 (3.5)	
	Multi-Color Coating	680 (5.7)	
	Pretreatment Coating	660 (5.5)	
	Primer	250 (2.1)	
	Primer Sealer	250 (2.1)	
	Single-Stage Coating	340 (2.8)	
	Temporary Protective Coating	60 (0.5)	
Bay Area	Truck Bed Liner Coating	310 (2.6)	
AQMD	Underbody Coating	430 (3.6)	
	Uniform Finish Coating	540 (4.5)	
	Any Other Coating Type	250 (2.1)	
	 Transfer Efficiency: A person shall not apply any coating to any motor mobile equipment or their parts and components with spray application unless one of the following methods is used: Electrostatic application equipment, operated in accordant manufacturer's recommendations; or High-Volume Low-Pressure (HVLP) spray equipment, accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations; or Any alternative coating application method that achieves efficiency equivalent to, or higher than, the application methods Prior written approval from the APCO shall be obtained for each method used. 		
	for solvent surface preparation and 2. Shall close containers of fresh or spreducer when not in use. 3. Shall not use organic compounds including paint lines, unless equipm and minimizing their evaporation to 4. The VOC content of surface prepar	rface preparation and cleanup or mixing, nataining organic solvent: storage or disposal of cloth or paper used cleanup. Dent solvent, coating, catalyst, thinner, or so for the cleanup of spray equipment, ent for collecting the organic compounds	

District/Agency	Best Avai	lable Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements	
	e: lir i. ii.	nd tar remover provided that the VOC content of such solvent does not acceed 350 g/l (2.9 lb/gal). Usage of solvent used as bug and tar remover is mited as follows: 20 gallons in any consecutive 12-month period for facilities and operations with 400 gallons or more of coating usage per year; 15 gallons in any consecutive 12-month period for facilities and operations with 150 gallons or more of coating usage per year; and . 10 gallons in any consecutive 12-month period for facilities and operations with less than 150 gallons of coating usage per year.	
Bay Area AQMD	The volur	Coatings me of adhesion promoter, uniform finish coating and multi-color coating shall not exceed 5.0% of all topcoats applied, on a monthly basis.	
	Filtration: A person shall not apply single or multi-stage topcoats subject to the coating limits to any vehicle except when exhausted through properly maintained particulate filtration media. A person shall not apply clear coating, color coating, multi-color coating, single-stage coating or uniform finish coating to any vehicle except when exhausted through properly maintained particulate filtration media. This requirement applies to all persons applying coating subject to this rule at stationary and mobile locations. The filter system shall meet the requirements of Regulation 2, Rule 1, as applicable.		
	Most Restrictive VOC Limit: If anywhere on the container or any automotive coating, or any label or sticker affixed to the container, or in any sales, advertising, or technical literature supplied by a person, any representation is made that indicates that the coating meets the definition of or is recommended for use for more than one of the coating categories listed in Coating Limits table, then the lowest VOC content limit shall apply.		
	G	SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline suideline 4.2.1 Automotive Spray Painting Operation, <5.0 MMBtu/hr 8/18/1999)	
		ive Spray Painting Operation, < 5.0 MMBtu/hr (also applies to ns without a heat source)	
San Joaquin	VOC	 HVLP spray guns, coatings, cleaning materials, and solvents compliant with District Rule 4612 (Achieved in Practice) VOC capture and control system (Technologically Feasible) 	
Valley APCD	NOx	Natural gas or LPG fired burner	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency	
	PM2.5 No standard		
	СО	No standard	
	T-BACT There are	no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.	

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	and components, any coating with a VC	hicle, mobile equipment, or associated parts of regulatory content, as calculated pursuant icable limits in Table 1, except as provided in	
	Coating Category (SJVAPCD Rule 4612 Definition)	VOC Regulatory Limit as Applied g/l (lbs/gal)	
	Adhesion Promoter	540 (4.5)	
	Clear Coating	250 (2.1)	
	Color Coating	420 (3.5)	
	Multi-Color Coating	680 (5.7)	
	Pretreatment Coating	660 (5.5)	
San Joaquin	Primer	250 (2.1)	
Valley APCD	Primer Sealer	250 (2.1)	
	Single-Stage Coating	340 (2.8)	
	Temporary Protective Coating	60 (0.5)	
	Truck Bed Liner Coating	310 (2.6)	
	Underbody Coating	430 (3.6)	
	Uniform Finish Coating	540 (4.5)	
	Any Other Coating Type	250 (2.1)	
	Most Restrictive VOC Limit If anywhere on the container of any automotive coating, or any label or sticker affixed to the container, or in any sales, advertising, or technical literature, any representation is made that indicates that the coating meets the definition of or is recommended for use for more than one of the coating categories listed in Coating Limits table, then the lowest applicable VOC content limit in the Coating Limits Table shall apply. VOC Emission Control System In lieu of complying with the applicable requirements of Section 5.1, 5.7, or 5.8, a person may use a VOC emission control system that meets all of the following requirements: 1. The VOC emission control system shall be approved, in writing, by the APCO. 2. The VOC emission control system shall achieve an overall capture and control efficiency of at least 85% by weight.		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements
San Joaquin Valley APCD	 In no case shall compliance through the use of a VOC emission control system result in a VOC emissions in excess of the VOC emissions which would result from compliance with applicable requirements of Section 5.1, 5.7, or 5.8. Coating Application Methods Except for underbody coatings, graphic arts operations, truck bed liner coatings, or any coating use of less than one (1.0) fluid ounce (29.6 millililiters), no person shall apply any coating to any motor vehicle, mobile equipment, or associated parts and components unless one of the following application methods is used:

The following control technologies have been identified and are ranked based on stringency. The VOC emissions from use of coatings and solvents were split into two categories, with-out add-on controls and with add-on controls. For the add-on controls category, the annual usage trigger levels were left off due to the variability in different districts cost effectiveness threshold levels for which the add-on control devices were required. In this case, the overall capture and control efficiency of the add-on control devices was compared for stringency.

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
VOC	 VOCs from Coating Operation and booths without add-on controls 1. 4,700 lb VOC/year limit, use of low VOC coatings and solvents, high efficiency spray equipment, and compliance with SMAQMD Rule 459 limits for all booth types. [SMAQMD] 2. < 7,920 lb VOC/year and compliance with SCAQMD Regulation XI, Rule 1151 and 1171 for Down-Draft Booths [SCAQMD] 3. < 10,403 lb VOC/year and compliance with Rule 67.20.1, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations [SDAPCD] 4. < 14,040 lb VOC/year and compliance with SCAQMD Regulation XI, Rule 1151 and 1171 for Non-Down-Draft Booths [SCAQMD] 5. < 14,600 lb VOC/year and compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 [BAAQMD] 6. High-volume low-pressure (HVLP) spray guns, coatings, cleaning materials, and solvents compliant with District Rule 4612 [SJVAPCD] 7. < 29,000 lb VOC/year (14.5 tons/year) and max coating VOC content of 4.8 lb/gal coating [US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309] VOCs from Coating Operation and booths with add-on controls 1. Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight [BAAQMD] 2a. Compliance with applicable AQMD Regulation XI Rules, and VOC control system with ≥90% collection efficiency and ≥ 95% destruction efficiency; OR [SCAQMD] 2b. Use of Super Compliant Materials (<5% VOC by weight); OR [SCAQMD] 2c. Use of low-VOC materials resulting in an equivalent emission reduction [SCAQMD] 3. High-volume low-pressure (HVLP) spray guns, coatings, cleaning materials, and solvents compliant with District Rule 4612 [SJVAPCD] 4. Compliance with Rule 67.20.1, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations [SDAPCD] 5. 14.5 tons/year, 4.8 lb/gal coating [US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309]
	VOCs from fuel combustion in Heaters
	Natural gas or LPG fired burner [SMAQMD] No Standard – [SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD]
NOx	For heaters, low NOx burner, 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 or 0.036 lb/MMBtu/hr [SMAQMD, SCAQMD Rule 1147] Natural gas or LPG fired burner [SJVAPCD] No Standard – [SDCAPCD, BAAQMD]
SOx	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner [SMAQMD] No Standard – [SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD]

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
PM10	PM10 from Coating Operation
	1. Dry filtration, 98% efficiency, 0.62 tons/year, 0.0015 gr/dcsf [US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309]
	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency and high transfer efficiency application equipment burner [SMAQMD]
	3. Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency [SJVAPCD]4. Dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained [BAAQMD]
	Dry filters or waterwash [SCAQMD] Spray booth equipped with overspray filters [SDAPCD]
	PM10 from fuel combustion in Heaters
	Natural gas or LPG fired burner [SMAQMD] No Standard – [SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD]
PM2.5	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency and high transfer efficiency application equipment [SMAQMD]
	2. Spray booth equipped with overspray filters [SDAPCD] 3. No Standard – [SCAQMD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD]
	PM2.5 from fuel combustion in Heaters
	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner [SMAQMD]
	2. No Standard – [SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD]
со	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner [SMAQMD] No Standard – [SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD]
NPOC	For booths with < 14,600 lbs/year (average of <40 lbs/day VOC emissions [BAAQMD] 1. Compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 [BAAQMD]
	For booths with ≥ 14,600 lbs/year (average of ≥ 40 lb/day) VOC emissions [BAAQMD] 1. Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight [BAAQMD]
HAP/VHAP	For booths with < 14,600 lbs/year (average of < 40 lbs/day VOC emissions [BAAQMD] 1. Compliance with Reg. 8, Rule 45 [BAAQMD]
(T-BACT) (A)	Spray booth with filter system, 98% capture efficiency, HVLVP Spray equipment or equivalent technology [US EPA, 40 CFR 63 Subpart HHHHH]
	For booths with ≥ 14,600 lbs/year (average of ≥ 40 lbs/day VOC emissions [BAAQMD] 1. Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight [BAAQMD]
	Spray booth with filter system, 98% capture efficiency, HVLVP Spray equipment or equivalent technology [US EPA, 40 CFR 63 Subpart HHHHH] cics are in the form of VOCs. T-BACT includes BACT requirements for VOCs.

⁽A) Since toxics are in the form of VOCs, T-BACT includes BACT requirements for VOCs.

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED						
Pollutant	Standard	Source				
	For booths with ≤ 4,700 lbs/year VOC Emissions 1. 4,700 lb VOC/year limit 2. Compliance with SMAQMD Rule 459. 3. For heaters, use of natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD				
VOC	For booths with > 4,700 lbs/year VOC Emissions 1. Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight [BAAQMD] 2. For heaters, use of natural gas or LPG fired burner	BAAQMD SMAQMD				
NOx	For heaters, low NOx burner, 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 or 0.036 lb/MMBtu/hr Natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD SCAQMD SJVAPCD				
SOx	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD SCAQMD				
PM10	 Spray booth with dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained, 98% PM control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner 	US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309 SMAQMD SCAQMD SDAPCD BAAQMD SJVAPCD				
PM2.5	 Spray booth dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained, 98% PM control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner 	US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309 SMAQMD SCAQMD SDAPCD BAAQMD SJVAPCD				
СО	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD				
HAP/VHAP (T-BACT) (A)	 Spray booth with filter system, 98% PM control efficiency for PM, HVLP spray equipment or equivalent technology Coatings with VOC content compliant with BAAQMD Reg. 8, Rule 45 and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45 VOC emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight 	US EPA (40 CFR 63 Subpart HHHHH) BAAQMD				

⁽A) Since toxics are in the form of VOCs, T-BACT includes BACT requirements for VOCs.

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternative
VOC	Carbon Adsorber Thermal Oxidizer
NOx	No other technologically feasible option identified
SOx	No other technologically feasible option identified
PM10	No other technologically feasible option identified
PM2.5	No other technologically feasible option identified
СО	No other technologically feasible option identified

Cost Effective Determination:

After identifying the technologically feasible control options, a cost analysis is performed to take into consideration economic impacts for all technologically feasible controls identified.

Maximum Cost per Ton of Air Pollutants Controlled

1. A control technology is considered to be cost-effective if the cost of controlling one ton of that air pollutant is less than the limits specified below:

<u>Pollutant</u>	Maximum Cost (\$/ton)
VOC	17,500
NO_X	24,500
PM10	11,400
SO_X	18,300
CO	TBD if BACT triggered

Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary

A previous cost effectiveness analysis determined that 4,700 lb VOC/year was the highest allowable uncontrolled emission rate that did not require any add-on control devices. This BACT determination will revisit this limit by using new cost data. The cost analysis was processed in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (sixth Edition). The sales tax rate was based on the District's standard rate of 8.5% as approved on 10/17/16. The electricity (11.24 cents/kWh) and natural gas (6.41 dollars/1,000 cubic feet) rates were based on an industrial application as approved by the District on 10/17/16. The life of the equipment was based on the EPA cost manual recommendation. The interest rate was based on the previous 6-month average interest rate on United States Treasury Securities (based on the life of the

equipment) and addition of two percentage points and rounding up to the next higher integer rate. The labor (Occupation Code 51-9122: Painters, Transportation Equipment) and maintenance (Occupation Code 49-9099: Installation, maintenance, and repair workers, all other) rates were based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Carbon Adsorber:

As shown in Attachment C, the cost effectiveness for the add on carbon adsorber system to control VOC was calculated to be \$17,505.28/ton (see attached Paint Spray Booth for Automotive Coating Cost Effectiveness Analysis). The following basic parameters were used in the analysis.

Equipment Life = 10 years

Total Capital Investment = \$10,210.98

Direct Annual Cost = \$32,782.49 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$4,977.14 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$37,759.63 per year

VOC Removed = 2.2 tons per year

Cost of VOC Removal = \$17,505.28 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for VOC removal with a carbon absorber is shown in Attachment C. Uncontrolled VOC emissions of 4,790 lb/year or greater is the cost-effective threshold for control equipment using carbon absorption control technology

Thermal Oxidizer:

Equipment Life = 10 years

Direct Cost = \$176,605

Direct Annual Cost = \$92,025.77 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$36,786.55 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$128,812.32 per year

VOC Removed = 7.36 tons per year

Cost of VOC Removal = \$75,173.58 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for VOC removal with a thermal oxidizer is shown in Attachment C. Uncontrolled VOC emissions of 14,720 lb/year or greater is the cost-effective threshold for control equipment using thermal oxidation control technology.

<u>Conclusion</u>: In this analysis, different emission operating levels are presented with the corresponding total cost per ton of VOC controlled using either a carbon adsorption control or a thermal oxidizer. Uncontrolled VOC emission level of 4,790 lb per year or greater must be reached in order for the carbon adsorption control option to be cost effective. Uncontrolled VOC emission level of 14,720 lb per year or greater must be reached in order for a thermal oxidizer to be cost effective. The emissions levels for the cost effectiveness of controls is based on the District cost effective limit for ROC of \$17,500 per ton controlled.

However, the previous BACT determination (#107) for paint spray booths for automotive refinishing deemed carbon adsorption control to be cost effective at 4,700 lb per year or greater. Since the previous carbon adsorption cost effective calculation is more stringent, the use of carbon adsorption for VOC emissions greater than 4,700 lbs per year will still be considered cost effective.

C. SELECTION OF BACT:

Based on the review of SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVAPCD, CARB, and EPA BACT Clearinghouses and cost effectiveness determinations, BACT for VOC, NOx, SOx, PM10, PM2.5, and CO will be the following:

BACT For Paint Spray Booths for Automotive Refinishing (#153) ≤ 4,700 lbs VOC/year					
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
voc	Compliance with SMAQMD Rule 459 For heaters, use of natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD SCAQMD			
NOx	For heaters, low NOx burner, 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 or 0.036 lb/MMBtu/hr Natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD SCAQMD SJVAPCD			
SOx	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD			
PM10	Spray booth with dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained, 98% PM control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment 3. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309 SMAQMD SCAQMD SDAPCD BAAQMD SJVAPCD			
PM2.5	Spray booth dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained, 98% PM control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment 3. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309 SMAQMD SCAQMD SDAPCD BAAQMD SJVAPCD			
СО	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD			

T-BACT Paint Spray Booths for Automotive Refinishing (#153) ≤ 4,700 lbs VOC/year					
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
Organic HAP (T-BACT)	 Spray booth with filter system, 98% PM control efficiency, HVLP spray equipment or equivalent technology Coatings with VOC content compliant with BAAQMD Reg. 8, Rule 45 and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45 VOC emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight 	US EPA (40 CFR 63 Subpart HHHHH) BAAQMD			

BACT For Paint Spray Booths for Automotive Refinishing (#154) > 4,700 lb VOC/year					
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
VOC	 Coatings with VOC content and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight For heaters, use of natural gas or LPG fired burner 	SMAQMD BAAQMD			
NOx	 For heaters, low NOx burner, 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 or 0.036 lb/MMBtu/hr Natural gas or LPG fired burner 	SMAQMD SCAQMD SJVAPCD			
SOx	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD			
PM10	Spray booth with dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained, 98% PM control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment 3. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309 SMAQMD SCAQMD SDAPCD BAAQMD SJVAPCD			
PM2.5	Spray booth with dry filters or waterwash, properly maintained, 98% PM control efficiency, 0.0015 gr/dcsf HVLP spray or equivalent application equipment 3. For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	US EPA, RBLC ID: OH-0309 SMAQMD SCAQMD SDAPCD BAAQMD SJVAPCD			
СО	For heaters, natural gas or LPG fired burner	SMAQMD			

T-BACT For Paint Spray Booths for Automotive Refinishing (#154) > 4,700 lb VOC/year					
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
Organic HAP (T-BACT)	 Spray booth with filter system, 98% PM control efficiency, HVLP spray equipment or equivalent technology Coatings with VOC content compliant with BAAQMD Reg. 8, Rule 45 and transfer efficiency complying with Reg. 8, Rule 45 VOC emission controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight 	US EPA (40 CFR 63 Subpart HHHHH) BAAQMD			

REVIEWED BY:	DATE:		
APPROVED BY:	DATF·		

Attachment A

Review of BACT Determinations published by EPA

List of BACT determinations published in EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (RBLC) for Automotive Refinishing:

RBLC	Permit Date	Process Code (A)	Process/Equipment	Pollutant	Standard	Control Technology	Case-By-Case Basis
OH-0309	05/03/2007	2007 41.003	Automotive Off-Line Repair Booth with Dry Filtration and Indirect Fired 5 MMBtu/hr Natural Gas Fired Infrared Oven	PM10 (filterable)	0.62 tons/year per rolling month, 0.0015 gr/dscf	Dry Filtration, 98% efficiency	BACT-PSD
				PM	2.4 tons/year, 0.5510 lb/hr	Dry Filtration, 98% efficiency	BACT-PSD
				Visible Emissions (VE)	5% Opacity as a 6-minute average	Dry Filtration	BACT-PSD
				VOC	14.5 tons/year, 4.8 lb/gal coat - Exempt lb/gal coat excluding 2ater & exempt solvents	VOC content shall be maintained as a monthly maximum for all coating repair operations or as a daily volume weighted average of the materials used	LAER/MACT

⁽A) Process Code 41.003 includes automotive refinishing.

⁼ Selected as the most stringent BACT determination achieved in practice.

Attachment B

Review of BACT Determinations published by ARB

List of BACT determinations published in ARB's BACT Clearinghouse for spray booths that were used for automotive coatings:

Capacity	Source	Date	NOx	VOC	СО	PM10
16' x 30' x 17'	SMAQMD	7/25/2005		4,700 lb/year, low VOC coatings		
16'4"W x 12'2"L x 10'8"H	SCAQMD	07/01/1999		15 lb VOC/day, dry filters		

⁼ Selected as the most stringent BACT determination achieved in practice.

Attachment C

Cost Effectiveness Determination for Carbon Adsorption and Thermal Oxidizers

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR CARBON ADSORPTION

This cost effectiveness analysis was performed using EPA's OAQPS Control Cost Manual EPA publication no. 450/3-90-006

FACILITY

NAME: Sacramento Auto Body, Inc

3430 La Grande

LOCATION: Blvd.

PERMIT NO.: 24973

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION: Autobody Refinishing

VOC

Parameters

VOC of concern	Toluene
Cost of pure VOC (\$/ton)	100
Molecular weight of VOC (Refer to Control Cost Manual, pg 3-63)	92.13
Emission rate (lbs/hr - inlet)	2.4
Inlet concentration (ppm)	22
k factor (Refer to Control Cost Manual, pg 4-11)	0.551
m factor (Refer to Control Cost Manual, pg 4-11)	0.11
Partial pressure (psi)	0.000317514

Gas

Parameters

Total gas flow rate (acfm - inlet)	8,000
Total gas pressure (psi - inlet)	14.7

Equipment Parameters

Removal efficiency (%)	90.0%
Adsorption time (hours)	8
Desorption time (hours)	8
Number of adsorbing beds	1
Number of Desorbing beds	1
Equipment life (years)	10

Operating Parameters

Hours per day	8
Days per week	5

Weeks per year 52

Carbon Requirements

Controlled VOC Emissions with max operation (tons/year)

VOC Emissions BACT add on limit (lbs/year) Controlled VOC Emissions BACT add on limit (tons/year) Carbon working capacity (lb VOC/lb carbon) Amount of carbon needed (lbs) Carbon cost Carbon life (years)		4790 2.2 0.25 17,244 \$25,866 5
Direct Costs:		
Purchased Equipment Cost		
Adsorber and auxiliary equipment		\$7,800.00
Instrumentation		\$780.00
Sales taxes		\$663.00
Freight		\$390.00
Purchased Equipment Cost		\$9,633.00
Canister carbon adsorption doesr	n't roquiro sito n	ron and
Direct installation costs building costs	r t require site p	rep and
Foundations & supports	\$	-
Handling & erection		_
Electrical	\$ \$ \$	-
Piping	\$	-
Insulation	\$	-
Painting	\$ \$	-
Direct installation costs	\$	-
Indirect Costs:		
Indirect Costs (installation)		
Engineering	\$	-
Construction and field expenses	\$ \$	-
Contractor fees	\$	-
Start-up	\$	192.66
Performance test	\$	96.33
Contingencies	\$	288.99
Total Indirect Costs	\$	577.98
Total Capital Investment		\$10,210.98
Interest Rate		0.04
Equipment Life (years)		10
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		0.1233
Capital recovery cost		\$1,258.92
Capital Recovery Inflation adjustment		\$1,481.46
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, , -
Direct Annual		
Costs		40.70
Labor wage (\$/hr)		19.79

operator hour (hrs/shift)			0.5
shifts per day (shift/day) days of work per year (days/year)			1 260
Operator labor			
	Bureau of Labor Statistics. Occupation Code: 51-9122 (Painters, Transportation		
Operator	Equipment)		\$2,572.70
Supervisor			\$0.00
Material			\$2,572.70
Replacement labor			\$0.00
Utilities			
Electrical Cost			
kW/hp			0.746
hp			10
hours/year			2080
kWh price			0.1124
Electrical			\$1,744.09
Total Direct Annual Costs (without	carbon costs)		\$6,889.49
Indirect Annual Costs			
Overhead			\$3,087.24
Administrative Charges		9	204.22
Property Tax			102.11
Insurance		9	102.11
Total Indirect Annual Costs (withou	ut Capital Recovery)		\$3,495.68
Ton VOC controlled			2.16
Carbon needed			17,244
Cost of Carbon per year			\$25,866.00
Total Annual			
Costs			\$37,732.63
Cost of VOC Removal			\$17,505.28
cost of voc hemotal			Ψ17,303.20
Determination of Maximum Annual V	OC Limit Not Requiring Add-on Bact		
Annual Direct Operating Cost (with	out carbon costs)		\$6,889.49
Annual Indirect Operating Cost	·		\$4,977.14
Carbon working capacity (lb carbon	/lb VOC)		0.25
<u> </u>			

Annual Ib VOC PTE	4790
Annual tons Controlled VOC	2.2
Control Efficiency	0.900
Amount of Carbon Needed	17244
Cost of Carbon	\$25,866.00
Total Annual Cost	\$37,732.63
Cost per ton VOC Controlled	\$17,505.28

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR THERMAL INCINERATION

This cost effectiveness analysis was performed using EPA's OAQPS Control Cost Manual EPA publication no. 450/3-90-006

FACILITY NAME: Sacramento Auto Body, Inc. LOCATION: 3430 La Grande Blvd. PERMIT NO.: 24973

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION: Autobody Refinishing

VOC Parameters	S
----------------	---

VOC of concern	Toluene
Molecular weight of VOC (see Control Cost Manual, p 3-63)	92.13
Heat of combustion (Btu/lb - see Control Cost Manual, p 3-63)	17,601
Heating value of VOC (Btu/scf)	4,074
Emission rate (lbs/hr - inlet)	2.4
Inlet concentration (ppm)	21

Gas Parameters

Total gas flow rate (scfm - inlet)	8000
Total gas pressure (psi - inlet)	14.7
Inlet gas temperature (deg F)	71

Equipment Parameters

Level of energy recovery (0%, 35%, 50% or 70%)	70%
Control efficiency (%)	90.0%
Equipment life (years)	10

Operating Parameters

8
5
52
2

Incinerator Parameters

Volumetric heat of combustion of effluent (Btu/scf)	0.09
Heat of combustion per pound of effluent (Btu/lb)	1.15
Temperature Required for incineration (deg F)	1,500.00
Gas temperature at exit of pre-heater (deg F)	1,071.30
Effluent gas temperature (deg F)	499.7

Electricity Usage

Price of electricity (\$/kWh)	\$0.1124
System fan (kWh/yr)	61,651.20
Total Power Used (kWh/yr)	61,651.20

Gas Usage

CAPITAL COST	
Direct Costs:	
Incinerator	\$110,000
Auxiliary equipment (if not included above) Equipment Cost	\$0
(A)	\$110,000
Instrumentation (0.1A if not included above)	\$11,000
CA Sales taxes (0.085)	\$9,350
Freight (0.05A) Total Equipment Cost (B)	\$5,500 \$135,850
Total Equipment Cost (b)	\$133,030
Direct Installation Costs:	
Foundation & Supports (0.08B)	\$10,868
Handling & erection (0.14B)	\$19,019
Electrical (0.04B)	\$5,434
Piping (0.02B)	\$2,717
Insulation for duct work (0.01B)	\$1,359 \$4,350
Painting (0.01B) Direct Installation Cost	\$1,359 \$40,755
Direct installation cost	φ + 0,733
Site preparation	\$0
Facilities & buildings	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$176,605
Indirect Costs (installation)	
Engineering (0.10B)	\$13,585
Construction & field expenses (0.05B)	\$6,793
Contractor fees (0.10B)	\$13,585
Start-up (0.02B)	\$2,717
Performance test (0.01B)	\$1,359
Contingencies (0.03B)	\$4,076
Total Indirect Costs	\$42,114
P	

\$6.41 87.43

\$218,719

Price of gas (\$/1000 cu.ft.) Auxiliary fuel required (scfm)

TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT

ANNUAL COST

Direct Annual Costs Operating Cost Operator (@ \$19.79/hr & .5 hr per shift) \$5,145.40 Supervisor (15% of operator) \$771.81 Operating materials \$0.00 Maintenance Labor (@17.77/hr & .5 hr per shift) \$4,620.20 Material (same as labor) \$4,620.20 Utilities Price of electricity (\$/kWh) \$0.11 Price of gas (\$/1000 cu.ft.) \$6.41 Electricity (\$/yr) \$6,929.59 Natural Gas (\$/yr) \$69,938.56 **Total Direct Costs** \$92,025.77 Indirect Annual Costs Overhead \$9,094.57 Administrative charges \$4,374.37 Property taxes \$2,187.19 Insurance \$2,187.19 Interest rate (%) 4% Equipment life (years) 10 **CRF** 0.0736 \$16,097.68 Capital recovery **Capital Recovery Inflation Adjustment** \$18,943.24 **Total Indirect Costs** \$36,786.55

TOTAL ANNUAL COST \$	128,812.31
----------------------	------------

Annual Cost

(\$/yr) \$128,812.31

Annual Emissions Reductions

(tons/yr) 7.36

(annual emissions based on BACT determination limit for

add-on controls)

COST PER TON OF VOCs REDUCED (\$/ton) \$17,501.67

Attachment D BACT Determinations from Air Districts



https://cfpub.epa.gov/rblc/index.cfm?action=PermitDetail.ProcessInfo&facility_id=26659&PROCESS_ID=106094 Last updated on 2/3/2017

Technology Transfer Network

Ologarhe Air EPActoine O Aire y a Gatton Control of Taylor A Carlo of Taylor O Carlo of Taylor of Taylor

Process Information - Details

For information about the pollutants related to this process, click on the specific pollutant in the list below.

New Search RBLC Home

Search Results | Facility Information | Process List

Process Information

Help **FINAL**

RBLC ID: OH-0309

Corporate/Company: DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORPORATION Facility Name: TOLEDO SUPPLIER PARK- PAINT SHOP Process: AUTOMOTIVE OFF-LINE REPAIR BOOTH

Pollutant Information - List of Pollutants

Help

Primary Fuel: Throughput:

Process Code: 41.003

Primary Emission Basis Verified Pollutant Limit <u>Particulate</u> matter, filterable 0.6200 T/YR PSD UNKNOWN < 10 μ (FPM10) Particulate Matter 0.5510 LB/H BACT-(PM) BACT-UNKNOWN <u>(PM)</u> BACT- UNKNOWN Visible Emissions 5.0000 % OPACITY (VE) Volatile Organic 14.5000 LAER UNKNOWN Compounds T/YR (VOC)

Process Notes: WITH DRY FILTRATION AND INDIRECT FIRED 5MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FIRED INFRARED OVEN



BACT Determination Detail

Category

Source Category:

Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Spray Booth:

<40 lb/day Emissions (Uncontrolled)

SIC Code

7532

NAICS Code

811121

Emission Unit Information

Manufacturer:

TBD - Paint Booth

Type:

Paint Booth

Model:

TBD

Equipment Description:

Automotive paint spray booth

Capacity / Dimentions

16' x 30' x 17',

Fuel Type

None-applicable

Multiple Fuel Types

Operating Schedule (hours/day)/(days/week)/ (weeks/year)e

Variable (8/5/52)

Function of Equipment

Vehicle refinishing

VOC Limit

4700

VOC Limit Units

lb/yr

VOC Average Time

VOC Control Method

Pollution Prevention

VOC Control Method Desc

low VOC coatings - see notes

VOC Percent Control

Efficiency

VOC Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)

VOC Incremental Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)

VOC Cost Verified (Y/N)

VOC Dollar Year

Project / Permit Information

Application/Permit No.:

18402

Application Completeness

Date:

New Construction

N	ew
---	----

Construction/Modification:

ATC Date:

07-25-2005

PTO Date:

Startup Date:

Technology Status:

BACT Determination

Source Test Available:

No

Source Test Results:

Facility / District Information

Facility Name:

Fleet Refinish, LLC

Facility Zip Code:

95655

Facility County:

Sacramento

District Name:

Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD

District Contact:

Paul Glanville

Contact Phone No.:

916-874-4800

Contact E-Mail:

pglanville@airquality.org

Notes

Notes:

low VOC coatings are required, add on control devices are not cost effective for our threshold levels for operations limited to 4700 lb/year of VOC emissions.

Report Error In Determination

CATEGORY: **COATING - AUTO BODY**

BACT Size: Minor Source BACT **PAINT SPRAY BOOTH**

BACT Determination Number: 107 **BACT Determination Date:** 6/10/2015

Equipment Information

Permit Number: 24446

Equipment Description: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH

Emissions limited to 4,700 lb VOC/qtr/year Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:

Equipment Location: CALIBER COLLISION CENTERS

> 2341 FULTON AVE SACRAMENTO, CA

BACT Determination Information

	Standard:	Low VOC coatings and solvents
ROCs		Compliant with Rule 459 and high transfer efficiency application equipment, Natural gas or LPG fired
	Technology	burner
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
NOx	Standard:	30 ppmvd @ 3% O2
	Technology	Low-Nox burner
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
SOx	Standard:	
OOK	Technology	Natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM10 Standard:		
	Technology Description:	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency and high transfer efficiency application equipment, Natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM2.5	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	Spray booth with exhaust filters; 95% control efficiency and high transfer efficiency application equipment, Natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
СО	Standard:	
	Technology	Natural gas or LPG fired burner
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
LEAD	Standard:	
,	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: BACT for A/Cs 24446, 24447 & 24448 for three paint spray booths each with a 1 MMBtu/hr low-NOx burner (booth heater). Booths exhaust at a flowrate of 14,000 cfm. This is for a non-OEM operation. This BACT is also applicable to an

automotive paint spray booth without a heater.

District Contact: Felix Trujillo Phone No.: (916) 874 - 7357 email: ftrujillo@airquality.org

Printed: 1/3/2018

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities*

Equipment or Process: Spray Booth

		Criteria Pollu	tants			
Subcategory/ Rating/Size	VOC	NOx	SOx	СО	PM10	Inorganic
Automotive, Down-Draft Type, < 660 Lbs/Month of VOC Emissions	Compliance with Applicable SCAQMD Regulation XI Rules (10-20-2000)				Dry Filters or Waterwash (1990)	
	Compliance with Applicable SCAQMD Regulation XI Rules (10-20-2000)				Same as Above (1990)	
Automotive, Down-Draft Type, ≥ 22 Lbs/Day of VOC Emissions	- Compliance with Applicable SCAQMD Regulation XI Rules, and VOC Control System with ≥ 90% Collection Efficiency and ≥ 95% Destruction Efficiency, or - Use of Super Compliant Materials (< 5% VOC by weight): or - Use of Low-VOC Materials Resulting in an Equivalent Emission Reduction (10-20-2000)				Same as Above (1990)	
Other Types, ≥ 1170 Lbs/Month of VOC Emissions	Same as Above (10-20-2000)				Same as Above (1990)	

Note: The sum of all VOC emissions from all spray booths within the same subcategory applied for in the previous two years at the same facility are considered toward the emission threshold.

10-20-2000 Rev. 0

^{*} Means those facilities that are not major polluting facilities as defined by Rule 1302 - Definitions

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities*

10-20-2000 Rev. 0

Equipment or Process: Dryer or Oven

		Cri	teria Pollutants			
Subcategory/ Rating/Size	VOC	NOx	SOx	СО	PM10	Inorganic
Carpet Oven		80 ppmvd, corrected to 3% O ₂ (10-20-2000)	Natural Gas (1990)		Natural Gas (1990)	
Rotary, Spray and Flash Dryers ¹⁾		Natural Gas with Low NOx Burner (10-20-2000)	Natural Gas (1990)		Natural Gas with Baghouse (1990)	
Tray, Agitated Pan, and Rotary Vacuum Dryers		Natural Gas with Low NOx Burner (10-20-2000)	Natural Gas (1990)		Natural Gas (1990)	
Tenter Frame Fabric Dryer		60 ppmvd Corrected to 3% O ₂ (10-20-2000)	Natural Gas (10-20-2000)		Natural Gas (10-20-2000)	
Other Dryers and Ovens – Direct and Indirect Fired		30 ppmvd corrected to 3% O ₂ (04-10-98)	Natural Gas (10-20-2000)		Natural Gas (10-20-2000)	

1. Dryers for foodstuff, pharmaceuticals, aggregate & chemicals.

^{*} Means those facilities that are not major polluting facilities as defined by Rule 1302 - Definitions

Section I: AQMD BACT Determinations

Application No.: 413559

Equipment Category – Dryer or Oven

1.	GENERAL INFORMATION			DATE: 9/15/200	14
Α.	MANUFACTURER: Spray Systems				
В.	TYPE: Direct-Fired Makeup Air Heate Automotive Type Side-Draft Spray E		C. MODEL:	MD1000 (Spra	y Booth)
D.	STYLE: Manual application of coatings	by w	orkers withi	n spray booth	
E.	APPLICABLE AQMD RULES: 401, 402				
F.	COST: \$ (NA) SOURCE	E OF COS	ST DATA:		
G.	OPERATING SCHEDULE: 10 HRS/DA	·Υ	4 DA	AYS/WK	50 WKS/YR
2.	EQUIPMENT INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 413559	9
Α.	FUNCTION: Heats spray booth ventilation used for application of coatings and facility aerial refueling system components. basis with batch times running typical approximately 70F to a maximum of facility operates 4 days/week, two 10	or dry The b lly on 130F,	ing/curing cooth is in use to three ho	coated parts used se approximately ours. Booth temp	I in manufacture of half time on a batch perature varies from
В.	MAXIMUM HEAT INPUT: 1.9 MMBtu/hr		· · · · · ·	1 THROUGHPUT: Two	o 10 hp exhaust fans
D.	BURNER INFORMATION: NO.: 1	TYPE	Low-NO		
E.	PRIMARY FUEL: Natural Gas		F. OTHER FI		
с. 13(<u> </u>	with a	ir throughpu	ıt. Maximum bo	ooth temperature is
3.	COMPANY INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 413559	9
Α.	NAME: Sargent Fletcher				B. SIC CODE: 3728
C.	ADDRESS: 9400 East Flair Drive CITY: El Monte		STATE: C	CA ZII	P: 91731
D.	CONTACT PERSON: Gilbert Tanon			E. PHONE NO.: 6	26-402-2205
4.	PERMIT INFORMATION			APP. NO.: 413559	9
Α.	AGENCY: SCAQMD		B. APPLICAT	TION TYPE: new con	struction
C.	AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: Emmanuel Quiz	on		D. PHONE NO.: 9	09-396-2523
E.	PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT/OPERATE INFORMATION: CHECK IF NO P/C	P/C N P/O N	o.: 413559 lo.: F67626		CE DATE: 5/27/2003 CE DATE: 4/6/2004
F.	START-UP DATE: October 2003				

5.	EMISSION INFORMATION	APP. NO.:	413559		
A.	PERMIT				
A1.	PERMIT LIMIT: Spray booth temperature no	t to exceed 130F. NOx	not to exceed 30)	
	ppmvd@3%O2 (30-Minute average).				
A2.	BACT/LAER DETERMINATION: NOx: 30 ppmvd@	93%O2			
A3.	BASIS OF THE BACT/LAER DETERMINATION:				
B.	CONTROL TECHNOLOGY				
B1.	MANUFACTURER/SUPPLIER: Eclipse Combustion	on			
B2.	TYPE: Nozzle-Mix Low-NOx burner				
B3.	DESCRIPTION: Winnox WX 200				
B4.	CONTROL EQUIPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION DATA:	P/C NO.:	ISSUANCE DATE:		
		P/O NO.:	ISSUANCE DATE:		
B5.	WASTE AIR FLOW TO CONTROL EQUIPMENT:	FLOW RATE	:		
	ACTUAL CONTAMINANT LOADING:	BLOWER HP) :		
B6.	WARRANTY: Manufacturer guaranteed 30	ppmvd@3%O2 NOx an	d 5 ppmvd CO.		
B7.	PRIMARY POLLUTANTS: NOx, CO, VOC, PM	10			
B8.	SECONDARY POLLUTANTS:				
B9.	SPACE REQUIREMENT:				
B10.	LIMITATIONS:			B11.	UNUSED
B12.	OPERATING HISTORY: The makeup air heater	has been in regular use	since October 2	.003.	
B13.	UNUSED	B14. UNUSED			
C.	CONTROL EQUIPMENT COSTS				
C1.	CAPITAL COST: CHECK IF INSTAL	LATION COST IS INCLUDED IN EQUI			
	EQUIPMENT: \$ INSTALLATION: \$	$(\mathrm{NA})^{ ext{SOURCE}}$ OF COST DATA	A :		
C2.	ANNUAL OPERATING COST: \$ (NA)	SOURCE OF COST DATA	A :		
D.	DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE				
D1.	STAFF PERMFORMING FIELD EVALUATION:				
	ENGINEER'S NAME: INSP	ECTOR'S NAME:	DATE:		
D2.	COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATION:				
D3.	VARIANCE: NO. OF VARIANCES: None	DATES:			
	CAUSES:				
D4.	VIOLATION: NO. OF VIOLATIONS: None	DATES:			
	CAUSES:				
D5.	MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS:			D6.	UNUSED

5. EMISSION INFORMATION

APP. NO.: 413559

D7. SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS:

DATE OF SOURCE TEST: 11/10/2003

CAPTURE EFFICIENCY:

DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY:

OVERALL EFFICIENCY:

SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE DATA: 20.76% O2 (dry vol.), 0.23% CO2 (dry vol.), 0.21 ppmvd NOx, 1.3 ppmvd CO---PPMVD@3%O2: 27 NOx, 162 CO

OPERATING CONDITIONS: Normal. Booth temperature 130F.

AQMD Method 100.1. Test report was approved by AQMD Monitoring & Source Test Engineering group. Minimum 20% of analyzer range requirement was waived in this case. Based on USEPA's Method 19, the NOx emission rate is .011 lb/MMBtu using the CO2 F-factor method and .033 lb/MMBtu using the O2 F-factor method. Both are less than the .036 lb/MMBtu emission rate that is equivalent to 30 ppmvd@3%O2.

6. COMMENTS

APP. NO.: 413559

<u>AUTOMOTIVE REFINISHING OPERATIONS (<5 gal/day)</u> Fee Schedule 27R

Review the BACT Control Option listed below. The applicant must propose the Control Option listed or perform a Top-down BACT Analysis as described in Section 4 to justify the selection of another Control Option. The applicant will be required to provide documentation that the Control Option selected meets the requirements listed in the table.

	VOC	NOx	SOx	PM
BACT Emission Rate Limit	Not Determined	(N/A)	(N/A)	Not Determined
BACT Control Option	Compliance with Rule 67.20.1, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations (A/P)	(N/A)	(N/A)	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters. (A/P)

The applicant may choose to limit the Potential to Emit (PTE) from the equipment to less than 10 pounds per day for each pollutant in lieu of meeting the stated BACT requirement.

(This table does not apply to operations applying, on average, 5 or more gallons of coating per day.)

AUTOMOTIVE REFINISHING OPERATIONS Fee Schedule 27S

The BACT Control Options which have been determined to be technologically feasible (T/F - demonstrated but not necessarily proven in field application) or have achieved the BACT emission rate limits in practice (A/P - demonstrated in use for the specific equipment category) are listed below. The BACT Control Options are listed in descending order of control stringency. If the top-listed T/F control option is proposed, no further analysis is required. If the first T/F control option is not chosen, then the applicant must review and determine the cost-effectiveness of each T/F control option in the order listed. The first control option determined to be cost-effective must be installed to meet the BACT requirement. A control option is considered cost-effective if the annualized cost of implementing that control option is equal to or less than the reference cost-effectiveness value for the same pollutant shown in Table 2-4. If none of the T/F control options are determined to be cost-effective, the applicant must propose the A/P control option, propose an alternative technology that meets the BACT emission rate limit or perform a full Top-down BACT Analysis as described in Section 4. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that the installed equipment meets the specified BACT Emission Rate Limit. (See Section 2 for further guidance.)

	VOC	NOx	SOx	PM
BACT Control Option	Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorber or Afterburner with coatings complying with Rule 67.20.1, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations (T/F) BACT Emission Rate Limit - emissions controlled to overall capture/ destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight	(N/A)	(N/A)	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters. (A/P)
BACT Control Option	Compliance with Rule 67.20.1, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations (A/P)	(N/A)	(N/A)	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters. (A/P)

The applicant may choose to limit the Potential to Emit (PTE) from the equipment to less than 10 pounds per day for each pollutant in lieu of meeting the stated BACT requirement.

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline

Source Category

	Courage	Spray Booth - Couling of Motor vehicle and Mobile	Revision:	2
Source: Equipme			Document #:	161.3.1
Ì	Class:	<40 lb/day Emissions (Uncontrolled)	Date:	12/16/91

Determination

POLLUTANT	BACT 1. Technologically Feasible/ Cost Effective 2. Achieved in Practice	TYPICAL TECHNOLOGY
POC	1. Coating w/VOC content and transfer efficiency complying w/Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥90% ^{a,b,T} 2. Compliance w/Reg. 8, Rule 45 ^{a,T}	 Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorber System or thermal Oxidizer a,b,T Complying Coatings and Coating Equipment (HVLP or Electrostatic or other BAAQMD approved applicator) a,T
NOx	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a
SO ₂	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a
СО	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a
PM ₁₀	1. <i>n/d</i> 2. <i>n/s</i>	1. n/d 2. Dry Filters or Waterwash, Properly Maintained ^a
NPOC	1. Coating w/ solvent content and transfer efficiency complying w/ Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/ destruction efficiency ≥90% ^{a,b,T} 2. Compliance w/ Reg. 8, Rule 45 ^{a,T}	 Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorber System^{a,T} Complying Coatings and Coating Equipment (HVLP or Electrostatic or other BAAQMD approved applicator)^{a,T}

References

a. BAAQMD

b. Generally considered to be cost-effective if uncontrolled emissions \geq 40 lb/day T. TBACT

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline

Source Category

Source:	Spray Dooin - Coating of Motor venicle and Modile	Revision:	2
Equipment, Rework or Bodyshop		Document #:	161.3.2
Class:	≥40 lb/day Emissions (Uncontrolled)	Date:	05/05/95

Determination

POLLUTANT	BACT 1. Technologically Feasible/ Cost Effective 2. Achieved in Practice	TYPICAL TECHNOLOGY
POC	1. Coating w/ VOC content less than and transfer efficiency greater than that required by Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/destruction efficiency ≥90% ^{a,T} 2. Coating w/ VOC content and transfer efficiency complying w/ Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emission controlled to overall capture/ destruction efficiency ≥90% ^{a,b,T}	 Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorption System or thermal Oxidizer^{a,T} Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorption System or thermal Oxidizer^{a,T}
NOx	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a
SO_2	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. <i>n/a</i> 2. <i>n/a</i>
СО	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a
PM ₁₀	1. n/d 2. n/s	1. n/d 2. Dry Filters or Waterwash, Properly Maintained ^a
NPOC	 Coating w/ VOC content and transfer efficiency complying w/ Reg. 8, Rule 45, and emissions controlled to overall capture/ destruction efficiency ≥90%^{a,T} n/d 	 Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorption System^{a,T} n/d

References

a. BAAQMD

b. A/N 3856 (Note: POC BACT2 control is achieved in practice for auto coating, not for bus coating, 7/9/02 memo from B. Young to B. deBoisblanc).

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 4.2.1*

Last Update: 03/23/2010

Automotive Spray Painting Operation, < 5.0 MMBtu/hr**

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
VOC	HVLP spray guns, coatings, cleaning materials, and solvents compliant with District Rule 4612	VOC capture and control system	Other compliant coating methods as stated in Rule 4612
PM10	Spray Booth with Exhaust Filters; 95% control efficiency		Other compliant coating methods as stated in Rule 4612
NOx	Natural gas or LPG fired burner		

^{**} This Determination is also applicable to automotive spray painting operations without a heat source

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source